

This article was downloaded by:

On: 28 January 2011

Access details: *Access Details: Free Access*

Publisher *Taylor & Francis*

Informa Ltd Registered in England and Wales Registered Number: 1072954 Registered office: Mortimer House, 37-41 Mortimer Street, London W1T 3JH, UK



## Physics and Chemistry of Liquids

Publication details, including instructions for authors and subscription information:

<http://www.informaworld.com/smpp/title~content=t713646857>

## Similarity Relations and Critical Constants of Heavy Fluid Alkali Metals

D. J. Klein<sup>a</sup>; N. H. March<sup>a</sup>

<sup>a</sup> Theoretical Chemistry Department, University of Oxford, Oxford, England

**To cite this Article** Klein, D. J. and March, N. H. (1994) 'Similarity Relations and Critical Constants of Heavy Fluid Alkali Metals', *Physics and Chemistry of Liquids*, 28: 3, 207 – 209

**To link to this Article:** DOI: 10.1080/00319109408034670

**URL:** <http://dx.doi.org/10.1080/00319109408034670>

PLEASE SCROLL DOWN FOR ARTICLE

Full terms and conditions of use: <http://www.informaworld.com/terms-and-conditions-of-access.pdf>

This article may be used for research, teaching and private study purposes. Any substantial or systematic reproduction, re-distribution, re-selling, loan or sub-licensing, systematic supply or distribution in any form to anyone is expressly forbidden.

The publisher does not give any warranty express or implied or make any representation that the contents will be complete or accurate or up to date. The accuracy of any instructions, formulae and drug doses should be independently verified with primary sources. The publisher shall not be liable for any loss, actions, claims, proceedings, demand or costs or damages whatsoever or howsoever caused arising directly or indirectly in connection with or arising out of the use of this material.

## LETTER

# Similarity Relations and Critical Constants of Heavy Fluid Alkali Metals

D. J. KLEIN\* and N. H. MARCH

*Theoretical Chemistry Department, University of Oxford,  
5 South Parks Road, Oxford OX1 3UB, England*

(Received 1 August 1994)

Chapman and March demonstrated from experimental data on critical constants for temperature and volume of the fluid alkali metals that  $T_c V_c^n = \text{constant}$ , where  $n$  is about 0.3. It is pointed out here that the similarity relations given by Likalter, though restricted in their validity to the heavy fluid alkali metals, yield  $n = 1/3$ .

**KEY WORDS:** Compressibility ratio, critical volume and temperature.

In earlier work, Chapman and March<sup>1</sup> emphasized that, from experimental data for the critical constants of the fluid alkali metals,

$$T_c V_c^n = \text{constant} \quad (1)$$

where the exponent  $n$  was about 0.3. As these workers noted, this situation is in marked contrast to the noble gases, where  $n$  is about  $-2$ . Furthermore, the compressibility ratio  $Z_c$ , defined by

$$Z_c = p_c V_c / R T_c, \quad (2)$$

where  $R$  is the usual gas constant, ranges from 0.06 for Li to 0.20 for Cs, while for the noble gases the value is  $0.29 \pm 0.01$ . Because of these results, Chapman and March<sup>1</sup> stressed the essential role of Coulomb forces in interpreting the above results for the fluid alkali metals.

The recent work of Likalter<sup>2</sup> has been concerned with the theory of the electronic structure of metals near their liquid-gas critical points, via arguments based on the metal-insulator transition. While Likalter's results appear to be most appropriate to noble and to  $d$  transition metals, he also invokes them for the heaviest fluid alkali metals Rb and Cs. Of particular interest in the present context is his claim<sup>2,3</sup> that

---

\*On leave from Department of Marine Sciences, Texas A and M University, Galveston, USA.

the critical parameters obey the similarity relations

$$T_c = \alpha_1 z \Delta, \quad p_c = \alpha_2 z \Delta^4, \quad Z_c = 0.1(z + 1). \quad (3)$$

In Eq. (3), we have written  $\Delta$  for a characteristic energy; Likalter identifies this with the atomic ionization potential  $I$ , but for reasons to be summarized below we prefer to keep the more general form (3). With  $\Delta = I$ , Likalter proposes the values  $\alpha_1 = 0.0425$  and  $\alpha_2 = 0.405$ , but again we prefer, as with  $\Delta$ , to leave these constants general for present purposes. Finally, in Eq. (3),  $z$  denotes the valence.

Though the similarity prediction for  $Z_c$  in particular breaks down severely for Li, it is correct for Rb and Cs and still reasonable for K (experimental  $Z_c = 0.175$ ). Therefore, for the heavier fluid alkalis, it is appropriate to bring the similarity relations (3) into direct contact with Eq. (1) which is obeyed empirically by the alkalis. Writing therefore, from Eq. (3),

$$Z_c = \frac{p_c V_c}{R T_c} = \frac{\alpha_2}{\alpha_1 R} \Delta^3 V_c = \left( \frac{\alpha_2}{\alpha_1 R} \right) \frac{T_c^3 V_c}{(\alpha_1 z)^3}, \quad (4)$$

one is led to the result

$$T_c V_c^{1/3} = \alpha_1 z \left( \frac{Z_c \alpha_1 R}{\alpha_2} \right)^{1/3} = \text{constant}. \quad (5)$$

This Eq. (5) is now precisely of the form of Eq. (1) deduced from experiment by Chapman and March for the fluid alkalis and confirms that  $n = 1/3$  of the heavy members of this series.

This argument supports the view that the critical point and the metal-insulator transition can be taken as one and the same for the heavy alkalis Rb and Cs. Plainly, however, there is different physics and chemistry associated with the critical point in the case of Li (and probably Na also). In particular, the similarity prediction  $Z_c = 0.2$  from Eq. (3) is badly violated for Li ( $Z_c = 0.06$ ). It is relevant to note here that the experimental structure factor measurements of Hensel and coworkers<sup>4,5</sup> have demonstrated the approximate constancy of the near-neighbour distance in Rb and Cs along the liquid-vapour coexistence curve, the lowering of the density on approaching the critical point coming dominantly from a reduction in coordination number. This leads one to expect that properties of alkali dimers should enter formulae such as those in Eq. (3). In particular, we note that for Na, admittedly in the solid state, Malrieu *et al.*<sup>6</sup> following the lead of Poshusta and Klein<sup>7</sup> on hydrogen, have constructed an effective Heisenberg Hamiltonian from diatom potential curves. In particular, for Na this Hamiltonian was characterized by the  $1\Sigma_g^+$  and  $3\Sigma_u^+$  potential curves of the free-space diatom  $\text{Na}_2$ .

It will not be surprising to us therefore if, in a final theory, the characteristic energy  $\Delta$  in Eq. (3) reflects in some direct manner the separation between these potential energy curves, rather than the atomic ionization potential with which  $\Delta$  is identified in the treatment of Likalter.

In summary, though the similarity relations (3) are only quantitatively successful for the heavier alkali metals, and best for just Rb and Cs, they lead to the theoretical prediction (5) which is in accord with the empirical relation (1) exposed in the work of Chapman and March<sup>1</sup> for the fluid alkali metal series.

#### *Acknowledgements*

One of us (DJK) acknowledges a Fellowship Award from the Fulbright Commission which made possible his stay in Oxford. The other of us (NHM) acknowledges support from the European Community for work on liquid metals, within the general context of a network on 'High energy density matter'. He thanks Professor J. M. Martinez-Val (Madrid) for stimulation and encouragement via this network. NHM also wishes to note that his contribution to this Letter was brought to final fruition during participation in the Condensed Matter Research Workshop at ICTP Trieste in 1994.

#### *References*

1. R. G. Chapman and N. H. March, *Phys. Chem. Liquids*, **16**, 77 (1986).
2. A. A. Likalter, *J. Phys: Condens. Matter*, **4**, 10125 (1992).
3. See also A. A. Likalter, *High Temp. Thermophys*, **23**, 465 (1985).
4. S. Jungst, B. Knuth and F. Hensel, *Phys. Rev. Lett.*, **55**, 2160 (1985).
5. See also the review by R. Winter, F. Hensel and F. Bodensteiner, *High Pressure Research*, **1**, 1 (1989).
6. J. P. Malrieu, D. Maynau and J. P. Daudey, *Phys. Rev.*, **B30**, 1817 (1984).
7. R. D. Poshusta and D. J. Klein, *Phys. Rev. Lett.*, **48**, 1555 (1982).